

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/578,465	LIU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Fiona T. Powers.
- (2) Christopher Knors.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

- (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 December 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Knors to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1)claim 1, last line delete "in the presence of" and insert -with- and 2)claims 7 and 8, line 1 of each, insert a comma after "formula I". Mr. Knors agreed to the amendments discussed above and asked that in claim 1, "reacting" should be changed to -isomerizing- to be consistent with the preamble. It was agreed that all of the amendments discussed above would be done by examiner's amendment..